## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

AMERICAN BROADCASTING
COMPANIES, INC., DISNEY
ENTERPRISES, INC., TWENTIETH
CENTURY FOX FILM CORPORATION,
CBS BROADCASTING INC., CBS STUDIOS
INC., FOX TELEVISION STATIONS, LLC,
FOX BROADCASTING COMPANY, LLC,
NBCUNIVERSAL MEDIA, LLC,
UNIVERSAL TELEVISION LLC, and OPEN
4 BUSINESS PRODUCTIONS, LLC,

No. 19-cv-7136 (LLS)

Plaintiffs,

v.

DAVID R. GOODFRIEND and SPORTS FANS COALITION NY, INC.,

Defendants.

## SEVENTH JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER MODIFYING CASE SCHEDULING ORDER

Plaintiffs American Broadcasting Companies, Inc., Disney Enterprises, Inc., Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation, CBS Broadcasting Inc., CBS Studios Inc., Fox Television Stations, LLC, Fox Broadcasting Company, LLC, NBCUniversal Media, LLC, Universal Television LLC, and Open 4 Business Productions, LLC ("Plaintiffs"), and Defendants David R. Goodfriend and Sports Fans Coalition NY, Inc. ("Defendants"), by and through their counsel, respectfully submit this Seventh Joint Stipulation and Proposed Order Modifying the Scheduling Order in the above-captioned matter.

On January 31, 2020, this Court entered an initial Scheduling Order with deadlines set through the close of expert discovery on September 11, 2020. At the parties' joint request, this Court on May 12, 2020 entered an Order modifying the case schedule by extending the existing

deadlines by eight weeks. On July 6, 2020, September 3, 2020, and November 13, 2020, the Court entered additional Orders modifying the case schedule by extending the existing deadlines by approximately eight weeks each time. On January 25, 2021, the Court entered another Order modifying the case schedule by extending the existing deadlines by approximately four weeks. On March 25, 2021, the Court entered another Order modifying the case schedule by about two weeks.

The parties now request a two-week extension to the motions objecting to the reliability of an expert's proposed testimony under Rule 702 under the Federal Rules of Evidence. The parties have been diligently working on completing summary judgment briefing. Counsel for the parties have conferred about the effect of these circumstances upon the case's schedule. This is the seventh time the parties have sought an extension or modification to the case schedule.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, subject to the Court's approval, that the following deadlines are extended pursuant to the table below:

Event	Current Deadline	New Deadline
Summary judgment replies	Thursday, June 17, 2021	Unchanged
Motions objecting to the reliability of an expert's proposed testimony under Rule 702 of the Federal Rules of Evidence	Thursday, June 17, 2021	Thursday, July 1, 2021
Oppositions to motions objecting to the reliability of such experts' proposed testimony under Rule 702 of the Federal Rules of Evidence	Thursday, July 8, 2021	Thursday, July 22, 2021
Replies in support of motions objecting to the reliability of such experts' proposed testimony under Rule 702 of the Federal Rules of Evidence	Thursday, July 22, 2021	Thursday, August 5, 2021

## Case 1:19-cv-07136-LLS Document 164 Filed 06/11/21 Page 3 of 4

Plaintiffs will initiate the pretrial order materials process to Defendants pursuant to the Court's Individual Practices	Thursday, June 17, 2021	Unchanged
Parties' submission of a pretrial order in a form conforming with the Court's instructions together with trial briefs and either (1) proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law for a nonjury-trial; or (2) proposed voir dire questions and proposed jury instructions for a jury trial	Thursday, August 19, 2021	Unchanged
Final Pretrial Conference	Thursday, September 16, 2021, at 3 p.m.	Unchanged

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 11, 2021

/s/ R. David Hosp	/s/ Gerson A. Zweifach
R. David Hosp	Gerson A. Zweifach
Mark S. Puzella (pro hac vice)	Thomas G. Hentoff (pro hac vice)
Sheryl Koval Garko (pro hac vice)	Joseph M. Terry (pro hac vice)
Caroline Koo Simons	Tian Huang (pro hac vice)
Laura B. Najemy (pro hac vice)	Jean Ralph Fleurmont (pro hac vice)
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP	Angelica H. Nguyen
222 Berkeley Street, Suite 2000	WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
Boston, MA 02116	725 Twelfth Street, N.W.
Tel: (617) 880-1800	Washington, DC. 20005
dhosp@orrick.com	<b>5</b>
mpuzella@orrick.com	650 Fifth Avenue
sgarko@orrick.com	Suite 1500
csimons@orrick.com	New York, NY 10019
Inajemy@orrick.com	,
	Tel: (202) 434-5000
Elizabeth E. Brenckman	gzweifach@wc.com
Lindsay Rindskopf	thentoff@wc.com
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP	jterry@wc.com
51 West 52nd Street	thuang@wc.com
New York, NY 10019	jfleurmont@wc.com
Tel: (212) 506-5000	anguyen@wc.com
ebrenckman@orrick.com	unguj en (e) weteem
lrindskopf@orrick.com	Attorneys for All Plaintiffs
Mitchell L. Stoltz	Paul D. Clement (pro hac vice)
Electronic Frontier Foundation	Erin E. Murphy (pro hac vice)
815 Eddy Street	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
San Francisco, CA 94109	1301 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Tel: (415) 436-9333	Washington, DC 20004
mitch@eff.org	
	Tel: (202) 389-5000
Attorneys for Defendants David R. Goodfriend	paul.clement@kirkland.com
and Sports Fans Coalition NY, Inc.	erin.murphy@kirkland.com
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Fox Television
On this day of June, 2021,	Stations, LLC and Fox Broadcasting
	Company, LLC
Approved By:	
The Honorable Louis L. Stanton	
United States District Judge	